

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/830,945	IGNATIUS, FRANCIS X.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lakshmi S. Channavajjala	1615	

All Participants:

Status of Application: non-final rejection

(1) Lakshmi S. Channavajjala.

(3) _____.

(2) Alan Feeney.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 April 2005

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

pending

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called the attorney to inform that claims 9,10,16 and 17 will be allowable if written independently, as already indicated in the non-final rejection. Examiner informed the attorney that new claims 31 and 32 will be allowable if they are made to depend upon claim 1, that contains the limitations of claim 9. Attorney agreed and authorized examiner to amend the claims by an examiner's amendment. .